Prepared for submission to JHEP

$\mathcal{N} = 1$ curve

Dan Xie[1,2]

[1] *Center of Mathematical Sciences and Applications, Harvard University, Cambridge, 02138, USA*
[2] *Jefferson Physical Laboratory, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA*

Abstract: $\mathcal{N} = 1$ curve is defined for four dimensional class $\mathcal{S}$ theory using Cayley-Hamilton theorem for two commuting matrices. The curve consists of three ingredients: 1: A set of N+1 degree N equations defining a curve; 2: a set of constraints relating the coefficients in the curve; 3: a canonically defined differential. We then extract from spectral curve various physical information such as the space of moduli fields, chiral ring relations, full moduli space, etc. Many examples are discussed, and the curve recovers the intricate vacua structure which often involves highly non-trivial field theory dynamics such as monopole condensation, dynamical generated superpotential, Seiberg duality, etc.

arXiv:1409.8306v1 [hep-th] 29 Sep 2014

Contents

1 Introduction **1**

2 Solving $\mathcal{N} = 1$ curve **4**
- 2.1 6d construction and spectral curve 4
- 2.2 Properties of spectral curve 9
  - 2.2.1 Moduli fields and chiral ring relation 9
  - 2.2.2 Genus of spectral curve and phases 10

3 Full moduli space of vacua **12**
- 3.1 Examples 12
  - 3.1.1 Maldacena-Nunez theory 13
  - 3.1.2 SQCD with quartic superpotential 14

4 Theories with other superpotentials **17**
- 4.1 Quardratic superpotential 17
- 4.2 Landau-Ginzburg superpotential 20

5 Conclusion **23**

A Spectral curve and constraints for A_3 theory **24**

1 Introduction

One of distinguished feature of supersymmetric field theories is that they have quantum moduli space of vacua. This kind of phenomenon is especially interesting for four dimensional theory as all kinds of interesting phase structures can occur in the infrared [1]. The structure of moduli space is crucial for understanding the dynamics of these theories.

The low energy effective theory on Coulomb branch of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ theory can be determined effectively by writing down a Seiberg-Witten (SW) curve [2, 3]. The most effective ways of finding a $\mathcal{N} = 2$ curve are using string theory tools [4, 5] and the connection to integrable system [6] (those two approaches are closely related). Among these approaches, the Hitchin integrable system [7] plays an important role, and the SW curve derived from the spectral curve of the Hitchin system takes the following general form:

$$v^N + \sum_{i=2}^{N} \phi_i(z, u_j) v^{N-i} = 0; \tag{1.1}$$

Here u_j are the moduli fields parameterizing the Coulomb branch. There is also a canonical SW differential $\lambda = vdz$ defined on the curve. Once a SW curve is written down, one can

determine the low energy effective actions from the complex structure of the curve, central charges of BPS particles using the SW differential, and various interesting physics at the singular points, etc.

It was soon realized in [8] that one can write down a similar SW curve for Coulomb branch of $\mathcal{N}=1$ theory. Later on M5 brane construction is used to write down curves for theory in confining and Higgs phase [9, 10], and a $\mathcal{N}=1$ curve also appeared in the Dijkgraff-Vafa conjecture for some $\mathcal{N}=1$ theories [11]. These methods more or less rely on $\mathcal{N}=2$ SW curve and it is hard to write down curves for more general $\mathcal{N}=1$ theories. There are also no integrable system tools available.

In [12], we proposed a method to write down curves for more general $\mathcal{N}=1$ theory engineered using M5 brane without relying on the knowledge of $\mathcal{N}=2$ theory. The new feature is that the moduli fields satisfy intricate relations, which is probably the reason why $\mathcal{N}=1$ curve is much harder to find. There is no such issue for $\mathcal{N}=2$ Coulomb branch as there are no relations between the moduli fields. So the task of writing down $\mathcal{N}=1$ curve consists of two steps: first write down a family of curves, and second find the relations between the coefficients which encode the moduli fields. The difficult part is the second step, which is only solved for rank one theory in [12]. The purpose of this paper is to find the relations for general rank N theory.

$\mathcal{N}=1$ class $\mathcal{S}$ theory is engineered by wrapping N M5 branes on a Riemann surface Σ which is embedded in a local Calabi-Yau three-fold. The normal deformation of the Riemann surface is encoded by a rank two holomorphic vector bundle $\mathcal{N}$ [1] whose determinant is equal to the canonical bundle of Riemann surface, therefore the infrared deformations are encoded by two Higgs fields Φ_1, Φ_2. The most crucial fact of these Higgs fields is that they have to commute with each other $[\Phi_1, \Phi_2]=0$ [14].

The infrared physics is encoded by the spectral curve of Φ_1 and Φ_2. Let's use z to denote the coordinate on Σ and v, w to denote the fibre coordinates of the rank two bundle. The spectral curve encoding the eigenvalues of Φ_1 and Φ_2 can be thought of as another holomorphic curve S embedded in the local Calabi-Yau parameterized by v, w, z.

The spectral curve (1.1) of $\mathcal{N}=2$ theory is written down using the characteristic polynomial of a single Higgs field Φ_1, and Φ_1 itself satisfies the characteristic polynomial equation due to Cayley-Hamilton theorem. This motivates us to find similar matrix equations for Φ_1, Φ_2 and then replace the matrices in the equations by the coordinates v and w to define S. The problem of finding such matrix equations is actually solved in [15, 16], and using their results S is defined by following $N+1$ equations:

$$v^a w^b + \sum_{i+j=2}^{N} c_{i,j}^{a,b} f_{ij}(z,u) v^{a-i} w^{b-j} = 0; \qquad a+b=N; \quad a,b \geq 0; \tag{1.2}$$

Here coefficients f_{ij} are holomorphic sections of line bundle $L_1^i \bigotimes L_2^j$, u are the moduli fields, and $c_{i,j}^{a,b}$ are fixed constants. These equations can be derived using the generalized

[1] In this paper, we assume that the rank two bundles are split, i.e. $\mathcal{N} = L_1 \bigoplus L_2$. A detailed discussion about the choices of these bundles and their physical meaning will appear in [13].

Cayley-Hamilton theorem for two commuting matrices, and the coefficients are expressed in terms of the trace of Φ_1 and Φ_2.

These coefficients $f_{i,j}$ are not independent. Since they are expressed in terms of traces of Φ_1 and Φ_2, the constraints can be expressed in terms of the trace identities which can be found using the matrix equations, and the full set of constraints take the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_d g_d f_{a_1 b_1} f_{a_2 b_2} \dots f_{a_r b_r} = 0; \qquad \sum a_i = m, \quad \sum b_i = n, \\ &N+2 \leq m+n \leq 2N; \quad m \geq 2 \text{ or } n \geq 2. \end{aligned} \tag{1.3}$$

Here the sum is over all possible partitions and g_d are constants (could be zero). Each equation is labeled by $(m,n)_i$ with m,n indicating that the equation is a section of $L_1^m \bigotimes L_2^n$, and i indicates different type of equations for fixed (m,n). The equation 1.2 and the constraints 1.3 are what we called $\mathcal{N}=1$ curve. The explicit curves and constraints of A_1, A_2, A_3 theories are presented in [2.12, 2.14, 2.18, 2.22, A.3, A.5]. The interesting physics of $\mathcal{N}=1$ theory can be extracted simply by solving the constraints due to the following crucial facts: the coefficients f_{ij} are holomorphic sections!

There is also a canonically defined differential on the curve

$$\Omega = dv \wedge dw \wedge dz, \tag{1.4}$$

which is nothing but the holomorphic $(3,0)$ form on local Calabi-Yau three fold. This differential can be used to calculate the effective superpotentials, domain wall tension, and scaling dimension, etc.

We apply our method to many examples including $\mathcal{N}=2$ theory deformed by various superpotentials, and our curves give exactly the same results derived from other methods. Those results are highly non-trivial, and often involve interesting dynamics including monopole condensation, dynamical generated superpotential, Seiberg duality, etc.

This paper is organized as follows: in section 2, we present our method of writing down the spectral curve and the constraints. In section 3, we discuss how to find the full moduli space of $\mathcal{N}=1$ theory. Section 4 describes how to find the spectral curve for theory with various superpotentials. Finally, a summary and possible future direction is given in section 5.

2 Solving $\mathcal{N}=1$ curve

2.1 6d construction and spectral curve

Four dimensional $\mathcal{N}=1$ class $\mathcal{S}$ theory is defined by compactifying 6d $(2,0)$ theory on a Riemann surface with various regular and irregular defects. The data defining the theory can be summarized as follows:

- Choose 6d (2,0) theory of ADE type and compactify it on a Riemann surface Σ with n punctures.
- Choose regular and irregular defects at the puncture, and choose a pair of integers (n_1, n_2) to represent the number of defects of type I and type II [2]. We only use local $\mathcal{N}=2$ punctures in this section.
- Choose a pair of holomorphic line bundles L_1 and L_2 [3] so that $\mathcal{N}=1$ (Calabi-Yau) condition is satisfied:
$$L_1 \bigotimes L_2 = K \bigotimes \mathcal{O}(\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i); \tag{2.1}$$
Here K is the canonical bundle of the punctured Riemann surface. Φ_1 and Φ_2 are sections of following bundles:
$$L_1 = L_1^{'} \bigotimes \mathcal{O}(\sum_{i=1}^{n_1} q_i), \qquad L_2 = L_2^{'} \bigotimes \mathcal{O}(\sum_{i=1}^{n_2} r_i). \tag{2.2}$$
Here q_i, r_i are subsets of p_i. The conditions on the degrees are then
$$deg(L_1^{'}) + deg(L_2^{'}) + n_1 + n_2 = 2g - 2 + n. \tag{2.3}$$
If $n_i \neq 0$, we require that the degree of L_i to be non-negative, so it admits a meromorphic section.

The $\mathcal{N}=1$ theory space constructed in this way is remarkably large, and more details about these new theories will appear in [13, 17], see also [18–32] for some recent studies on a small sample of these theories.

We are interested in the moduli space of vacua of those theories, which is conjectured to be governed by the following generalized Hitchin equation [14]:

$$\begin{aligned} &F_{z\bar{z}} + h_1[\Phi_1, \Phi_1^*] + h_2[\Phi_2, \Phi_2^*] = 0; \\ &D_{\bar{z}}\Phi_1 = D_{\bar{z}}\Phi_2 = 0; \\ &[\Phi_1, \Phi_2] = 0; \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

Here $A_{\bar{z}}$ defines a rank N holomorphic vector bundle, and $\Phi_i \in H^0(\Sigma, End(E) \bigotimes L_i)$, $i = 1, 2$ are two Higgs fields. h_i are the proper Hermitian metric to make the first equation

[2] Type I (Type II) means that Higgs field Φ_1 (Φ_2) is singular, and they can be singular at the same point.

[3] In general, one can choose an arbitrary rank two holomorphic bundles, but the split case plays a special role, see [13]

to be covariant. It is conjectured that the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{GH}$ of above generalized Hitchin equation is related to the moduli space of four dimensional $\mathcal{N}=1$ theory: one can write down a spectral curve which can be identified with the $\mathcal{N}=1$ SW curve. This idea is the same as the one proposed in [9]: the infrared physics is determined by a different Riemann surface on which a single M5 brane wraps, which is the Seiberg-Witten curve; In fact, one has a family of SW curve parameterized by the moduli fields. Mathematically, this means that the moduli space M_{GH} has the following fibration structure

$$\pi: \ \mathcal{M}_{GH} \to B; \tag{2.5}$$

Here the coordinates on B is parameterized by the moduli fields. This fibration structure is nicely encoded by a spectral curve. Let's use z to denote the coordinate of Riemann surface Σ, and (v,w) to denote the tautological sections of two line bundles. Then the spectral curve is a Riemann surface embedded in the total space of rank two bundles parameterized by (z,v,w), therefore the task is to find a set of polynomial equations depending on these three coordinates. We leave the full mathematical discussion about the moduli space of generalized Hitchin equation and the spectral curve to a different place [33], and here we take a more elementary way.

Let's start with $\mathcal{N}=2$ case where the spectral curve is known in full detail [7, 34]. In this case, we have $L_1 = K, L_2 = \mathcal{O}$, and there is only one nontrivial Higgs field $\Phi_1 \in H^0(\Sigma, End(E)\bigotimes K)$ for the Coulomb branch. The spectral curve is nothing but the characteristic polynomial of Φ_1.

$$p(x) = \det(x-\Phi_1) = x^N + \sum_{i=2}^{N} \phi_i x^{N-i} = 0; \tag{2.6}$$

here $\phi_i \in H^0(\Sigma, K^i)$ which can be expressed in terms of trace of matrix Φ_1. There is a famous Cayley-Hamilton theorem which says that the matrix Φ satisfy the equations: $p(\Phi_1)=0$, and this fact is valid for any matrix! We could find the spectral curve by first deriving a matrix equation for Φ_1, and then replace Φ_1 in the matrix equation by the coordinate x.

This motivates the following strategy for finding the spectral curve for two $N\times N$ matrices: first find the matrix equations for Φ_1 and Φ_2 in which the coefficients are expressed in terms of traces of Φ_1, Φ_2, and the spectral curve is found by replacing Φ_1 and Φ_2 with coordinates v, w. Fortunately, this problem has been solved by Procesi and Razmyslov [15, 16], and they show that the generator for the matrix equations are actually finite!

Even more importantly, using these matrix equations, one can find the trace identities for Φ_1 and Φ_2, and these trace identities will give us equations relating the coefficients in the spectral curve! The commuting condition on Φ_1 and Φ_2 plays an important role. In the following, we are going to discuss more details about the explicit construction of spectral curve.

$\mathbf{A_1}$ theory: By A_1 theory we mean the four dimensional theories engineered using 6d A_1 $(2,0)$ theory. Let's start with the characteristic polynomial $p(\lambda)$ of a traceless 2×2 matrix X:

$$p(\lambda) = \det(\lambda - X) = 0 \to \lambda^2 - \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}[X^2] = 0. \tag{2.7}$$

The Cayley-Hamilton theorem states that the matrix X itself satisfies its characteristic polynomial equation:

$$p(X) = X^2 - \frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{Tr}[X^2] = 0. \tag{2.8}$$

If we have a pair of matrices X_1, X_2, then we have an extra matrix equation:

$$p(X_1 + X_2) - p(X_1) - p(X_2) = 0 \to X_1X_2 + X_2X_1 - \mathrm{Tr}(X_1X_2) = 0; \tag{2.9}$$

Let's apply the above matrix equations to two commuting matrices Φ_1 and Φ_2, and we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Phi_1^2 - \frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{Tr}(\Phi_1^2) = 0,\\
&\Phi_1\Phi_2 - \frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{Tr}(\Phi_1\Phi_2) = 0,\\
&\Phi_2^2 - \frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{Tr}(\Phi_2^2) = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

Notice that each term in the matrix equation has the fixed number of Φ_1 and Φ_2 factors, and we can label them by a pair of integers (a, b), i.e. the first equation has a label $(2, 0)$; and we also define the order of matrix equation as $a + b$. In fact, all the matrix equations are generated by the order 2 matrix equations, and the above three equations are enough for our purpose. From these equations, we can easily find a trace identity:

$$(\mathrm{Tr}\,\Phi_1\Phi_2)^2 = \mathrm{Tr}\,\Phi_1^2\,\mathrm{Tr}\,\Phi_2^2. \tag{2.11}$$

Notice that the commuting condition plays an important role for deriving this equation. Moreover, this trace identity generates all the other trace identities. Once we find the full set of matrix equations, we can define the spectral curve by replacing Φ_1, Φ_2 with the coordinates v, w:

$$\begin{aligned}
&v^2 = f(z),\\
&vw = h(z),\\
&w^2 = g(z),
\end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

with

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{Tr}\,\Phi_1^2, \quad h(z) = \frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{Tr}\,\Phi_1\Phi_2, \quad g(z) = \frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{Tr}\,\Phi_2^2. \tag{2.13}$$

and we have the following constraints on the coefficients from the trace identity (2.11):

$$h(z)^2 = f(z)g(z). \tag{2.14}$$

The spectral curve (2.12) and the constraint (2.14) are exactly what is found in [12].

$\mathbf{A_2}$ theory: Let's now consider A_2 theory and 3×3 matrices. The characteristic polynomial for a traceless 3×3 matrix X is

$$p(\lambda) = \lambda^3 - \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}(X^2)\lambda - \frac{1}{3}\mathrm{Tr}(X^3) = 0. \tag{2.15}$$

Again, the Cayley-Hamilton theorem says that $p(X) = 0$. Now we use the following equations

$$p(X_1+X_2)+p(X_1-X_2)-2p(X_1)=0, \quad p(X_1+X_2)-p(X_1-X_2)+2p(X_2)=0, \tag{2.16}$$

to get another two matrix equations. Combine with the characteristic polynomials of Φ_1 and Φ_2, we get the following four order three matrix equations:

$$\begin{aligned}
&{\Phi_1}^3-\frac{1}{2}\Phi_1\mathrm{Tr}[{\Phi_1}^2]-\frac{1}{3}\mathrm{Tr}[{\Phi_1}^3]=0,\\
&{\Phi_1}^2\Phi_2-\frac{1}{3}\Phi_1\mathrm{Tr}[\Phi_1\Phi_2]-\frac{1}{6}\Phi_2\mathrm{Tr}[{\Phi_1}^2]-\frac{1}{3}\mathrm{Tr}[{\Phi_1}^2\Phi_2]=0,\\
&{\Phi_2}^2\Phi_1-\frac{1}{3}\Phi_2\mathrm{Tr}[\Phi_1\Phi_2]-\frac{1}{6}\Phi_1\mathrm{Tr}[{\Phi_2}^2]-\frac{1}{3}\mathrm{Tr}[{\Phi_2}^2\Phi_1]=0,\\
&{\Phi_2}^3-\frac{1}{2}\Phi_2\mathrm{Tr}[{\Phi_2}^2]-\frac{1}{3}\mathrm{Tr}[{\Phi_2}^3]=0.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

We have used the commuting condition on Φ_1 and Φ_2. They can be labeled as $(3,0),(2,1),(1,2),(0,3)$ based on the number of Φ_1,Φ_2 factors. Apparently, the above equations are the full set of order three matrix equations. Using the matrix equation, our spectral curve is

$$\begin{pmatrix} v^3 \\ v^2w \\ vw^2 \\ w^3 \end{pmatrix}+\begin{pmatrix} f_1(z)v \\ f_3(z)v+\frac{1}{3}f_1w \\ f_3(z)w+\frac{1}{3}f_2v \\ f_2(z)w \end{pmatrix}+\begin{pmatrix} g_1(z) \\ g_3(z) \\ g_4(z) \\ g_2(z) \end{pmatrix}=0; \tag{2.18}$$

and the coefficients are expressed as the traces of two matrices:

$$\begin{aligned}
&f_1=-\frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{Tr}\,\Phi_1^2, \qquad g_1=-\frac{1}{3}\,\mathrm{Tr}\,\Phi_1^3,\\
&f_3=-\frac{1}{3}\,\mathrm{Tr}\,\Phi_1\Phi_2, \quad g_3=-\frac{1}{3}\,\mathrm{Tr}\,\Phi_1^2\Phi_2, \quad g_4=-\frac{1}{3}\,\mathrm{Tr}\,\Phi_1\Phi_2^2,\\
&f_2=-\frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{Tr}\,\Phi_2^2, \qquad g_2=-\frac{1}{3}\,\mathrm{Tr}\,\Phi_2^3.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

These traces are the full set of invariants of two commuting matrices. By invariant we mean the expressions which are invariant under the transformation

$$\Phi_1\to g\Phi_1g^{-1}, \qquad \Phi_2\to g\Phi_2g^{-1}, \tag{2.20}$$

with g an arbitrary 3×3 matrix. All the invariants are generated by the single trace and multiple trace of matrices [15, 16]. Using matrix equations, we can express the invariants such as $\mathrm{Tr}[\Phi_1^a\Phi_2^b], a+b>3$ in terms of above generators.

By multiplying the appropriate powers of Φ_1 and Φ_2 to one of matrix equation, then using other equation to eliminate the higher order term, finally, take the trace, we can get

trace identity [4]. Using this procedure, we find the following trace identities:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{9}\mathrm{Tr}\left[\Phi_1^2\right]\mathrm{Tr}\left[\Phi_2^2\right]\mathrm{Tr}[\Phi_1\Phi_2]-\frac{1}{9}\mathrm{Tr}[[\Phi_1\Phi_2]^3-\frac{1}{3}\mathrm{Tr}\left[\Phi_1\Phi_2^2\right]\mathrm{Tr}\left[\Phi_1^2\Phi_2\right]+\frac{1}{3}\mathrm{Tr}\left[\Phi_1^3\right]\mathrm{Tr}\left[\Phi_2^3\right]=0,\\
&-\frac{2}{3}\mathrm{Tr}[\Phi_1\Phi_2]\mathrm{Tr}\left[\Phi_1^2\Phi_2\right]+\frac{1}{3}\mathrm{Tr}\left[\Phi_1^2\right]\mathrm{Tr}\left[\Phi_1\Phi_2^2\right]+\frac{1}{3}\mathrm{Tr}\left[\Phi_1^3\right]\mathrm{Tr}\left[\Phi_2^2\right]=0,\\
&-\frac{2}{3}\mathrm{Tr}[\Phi_1\Phi_2]\mathrm{Tr}\left[\Phi_1\Phi_2^2\right]+\frac{1}{3}\mathrm{Tr}\left[\Phi_1^2\Phi_2\right]\mathrm{Tr}\left[\Phi_2^2\right]+\frac{1}{3}\mathrm{Tr}\left[\Phi_1^2\right]\mathrm{Tr}\left[\Phi_2^3\right]=0,\\
&\frac{1}{18}\mathrm{Tr}[\Phi_1^2]\mathrm{Tr}[\Phi_1\Phi_2]^2+\frac{1}{3}\mathrm{Tr}[\Phi_1^2\Phi_2]^2-\frac{1}{18}\mathrm{Tr}[\Phi_1^2]^2\mathrm{Tr}[\Phi_2^2]-\frac{1}{3}\mathrm{Tr}[\Phi_1^3]\mathrm{Tr}[\Phi_1\Phi_2^2]=0,\\
&\frac{1}{18}\mathrm{Tr}[\Phi_2^2]\mathrm{Tr}[\Phi_2\Phi_1]^2+\frac{1}{3}\mathrm{Tr}[\Phi_2^2\Phi_1]^2-\frac{1}{18}\mathrm{Tr}[\Phi_1^2]\mathrm{Tr}[\Phi_2^2]^2-\frac{1}{3}\mathrm{Tr}[\Phi_2^3]\mathrm{Tr}[\Phi_2\Phi_1^2]=0.
\end{aligned}\tag{2.21}$$

Notice that each term also contains equal number of Φ_1 and Φ_2 factors for each equation, so we could label the above trace identity as type $(3,3),(3,2),(2,3),(4,2),(2,4)$. These are the full set of trace identities.

Using the trace identities, we get the following constraints for the spectral curve of A_2 theory:

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Type[3][3]}: &\quad -\frac{4}{9}f_1f_2f_3+f_3^3-g_3g_4+g_1g_2=0,\\
\text{Type[3][2]}: &\quad -3f_3g_3+f_1g_4+g_1f_2=0,\\
\text{Type[2][3]}: &\quad -3f_3g_4+f_2g_3+f_1g_2=0,\\
\text{Type[4][2]}: &\quad f_1f_3^2-3g_3^2-\frac{4}{9}f_1^2f_2+3g_1g_4=0,\\
\text{Type[2][4]}: &\quad f_2f_3^2-3g_4^2-\frac{4}{9}f_2^2f_1+3g_2g_3=0.
\end{aligned}\tag{2.22}$$

$\mathbf{A}_{N-1}$ theory: In general, the spectral curve takes the following form:

$$v^aw^b+\sum_{i+j=2}^{N}c_{i,j}^{a,b}f_{ij}v^{a-i}w^{b-j}=0;\qquad a+b=N;\tag{2.23}$$

Here i,j is constrained such that the power of v,w is always non-negative, and $f_{ij}^{(a,b)}\in H^0(\Sigma,L_1^iL_2^j)$, and $c_{i,j}^{a,b}$ are fixed constants. There are a total of $N+1$ equations.

The above equations are the consequence of the generalized Cayley-Hamilton theorem for two matrices due to Procesi and Razmyslov [15, 16], which claim that all the matrix equations of two $N\times N$ matrices are generated by the following list:

$$\Phi_1^a\Phi_2^b+\sum_d c_d\Phi_1^{a_0}\Phi_2^{b_0}\,\mathrm{Tr}(\Phi_1^{a_1}\Phi_2^{b_1})\,\mathrm{Tr}(\Phi_1^{a_2}\Phi_2^{b_2})\ldots\mathrm{Tr}(\Phi_1^{a_r}\Phi_2^{b_r})=0,\qquad a+b=N;\tag{2.24}$$

Here the sum is over all the partitions such that $\sum_{i=0}^r a_i=a$, $\sum_{i=1}^r b_i=b$, and c_d are rational numbers which can be easily found. Using the above equation, f_{ij} can be expressed in terms of trace of matrices:

$$f_{ij}=c\,\mathrm{Tr}[\Phi_1^i\Phi_2^j]+\ldots\tag{2.25}$$

[4] For example, we can multiple $\Phi_1\Phi_2^2$ to the second equation, and then use the first and last equation to eliminate Φ_1^3 and Φ_2^3 term, we get a matrix equation involving $\mathrm{Tr}(\Phi_1^3)\mathrm{Tr}(\Phi_2^3)$; finally, we take the trace of this equation, and get the $(3,3)$ trace identity.

here c is a fixed constant. By multiplying the appropriate power on one of above equation, then use other matrix equation to eliminate the higher order term, and finally take the trace, we get the following trace identities:

$$\sum_d e_d \operatorname{Tr}[\Phi_1^{a_1}\Phi_2^{b_1}]\operatorname{Tr}[\Phi_1^{a_2}\Phi_2^{b_2}]\dots\operatorname{Tr}[\Phi_1^{a_r}\Phi_2^{b_r}] = 0; \tag{2.26}$$

Here the power in each individual trace satisfies $a_i + b_i \leq N$, and e_d are constants. The above equations can be expressed using the coefficients of the spectral curve, and the generators have the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_d g_d f_{a_1b_1} f_{a_2b_2}\dots f_{a_rb_r} = 0; \qquad \sum a_i = m, \quad \sum b_i = n, \\ &N+2 \leq m+n \leq 2N; \quad m \geq 2 \text{ or } n \geq 2. \end{aligned} \tag{2.27}$$

Here the sum is over all the partitions such that $\sum a_i = m$, $\sum b_i = n$, and g_d are some rational numbers (could be zero). Each equation is labeled by $(m,n)_i$ with m, n indicating that the equation is a section of $L_1^m L_2^n$, and i indicates different types of equations for fixed (m,n). We have not seen this i index for A_1 and A_2 theory, but they generically would appear, see an example in appendix.

There is a canonical differential defined on the spectral curve. Since coordinates (z,v,w) parameterizes a local Calabi-Yau, it has a nowhere vanishing $(3,0)$ form:

$$\Omega = dv \wedge dw \wedge dz; \tag{2.28}$$

and this differential is important for calculating the superpotential [9], domain wall tension, scaling dimension [31], etc. The application of this differential will be discussed elsewhere.

The spectral curve presented in (2.23), the constraints (2.27) of the coefficients, and the canonical differential (2.28) are the main result of this paper. The explicit equations for A_3 theory are presented in appendix following above general procedure.

2.2 Properties of spectral curve

2.2.1 Moduli fields and chiral ring relation

The spectral curve presented in last subsection suggests that the moduli fields are given by the space of sections:

$$\bigoplus_{i,j} H^0(\Sigma, L_1^i L_2^j). \tag{2.29}$$

The dimension of holomorphic sections of a line bundle L can be found using the Riemann-Roch theorem:

$$\dim H^0(\Sigma, L) - \dim H^0(\Sigma, K \bigotimes L^{-1}) = \deg(L) - g + 1; \tag{2.30}$$

An important fact is that the the dimension of holomorphic sections of L is zero if $deg(L) < 0$. Using this fact and the Riemann-Roch theorem, we have the following simple results:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\dim H^0(\Sigma, L) = 0, \quad deg(L) < 0, \\
&\dim H^0(\Sigma, L) = \deg(L) - g + 1, \quad deg(L) > 2g - 2, \\
&\dim H^0(\Sigma, L) = 1, \quad L = \mathcal{O}, \\
&\dim H^0(\Sigma, L) = 0, \quad deg(L) = 0, \quad L \neq \mathcal{O}. \\
&\dim H^0(\Sigma, K) = g, \quad L = K, \\
&\dim H^0(\Sigma, L) = g - 1, \quad deg(L) = 2g - 2, \ L \neq K;
\end{aligned} \tag{2.31}$$

Here $\mathcal{O}$ is the trivial bundle and K is the canonical bundle. If $0 < deg(L) < 2g - 2$, the dimension of the holomorphic section depends on the specific line bundles.

In many cases, the spectral curve for a single Higgs field is important, and we can discuss more details. Consider spectral curve of a single Higgs field $\Phi \in H^0(\Sigma, End(E) \bigotimes L)$:

$$v^N + \sum_{i=2}^{N} \phi_i v^{N-i} = 0; \tag{2.32}$$

Let's denote the contribution of the ith puncture to the dimension of the moduli space as p_i, then the dimension of the base is (assuming $deg(L) \geq 2g - 2$):

$$d_b = \sum_{punctures} p_i + deg(L)\frac{(N-1)(N+2)}{2} - (N-1)(g-1). \tag{2.33}$$

The next question is what is the physical meaning of these moduli fields? In the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ case, the moduli fields are the Coulomb branch operators, which are in particular invariant under the flavor symmetry. In the $\mathcal{N} = 1$ case, based on the analysis performed in [35], the moduli fields in the spectral curve describes the **gauge and flavor invariant** parts of the moduli space!

The fields in $\bigoplus_{i,j} H^0(\Sigma, L_1^i L_2^j)$ are not independent, and they have to satisfy relations presented in last subsection, this leads to the chiral ring relations between the moduli fields. Many examples will be discussed in later sections.

2.2.2 Genus of spectral curve and phases

The genus of the spectral curve S tells us how many massless photons are left in the low energy theory. The spectral curve can be thought of as a N-fold cover over the Riemann surface parameterized by z:

$$S \to \Sigma. \tag{2.34}$$

For a fixed z, our spectral curve gave N roots for v and w, and the ramification points are those places where the roots are degenerate, which can be found using the spectral curves for two individual Higgs fields. The genus can be computed using Riemann-Huwitz theorem:

$$2 - 2g_S = N(2 - 2g_\Sigma) - \sum_p (e(p) - 1) \to g_S = \frac{1}{2}\sum_p (e(p) - 1) - (N - 1) + N g_\Sigma, \tag{2.35}$$

here $e(p)$ is the ramification index at point p, and there are finite number of ramification points.

Again, if the spectral curve is only nonzero for one Higgs field , we can find the genus explicitly. Let's start with the spectral curve of one Higgs field $\Phi_1 \in H^0(\Sigma, End(E) \bigotimes L)$:

$$v^N + \sum_i \phi_i v^{N-i} = 0; \tag{2.36}$$

The genus of this Riemann surface is given by the following formula:

$$d_f = \sum_{punctures} p_i + deg(L)\frac{N(N-1)}{2} + (g-1)(N-1); \tag{2.37}$$

here p_i is the contribution from the punctures which is the same as the one contributing the dimension of the moduli space. The difference between the dimensions are (again we assume $deg(L) \geq 2g-2$):

$$d_b - d_f = (N-1)(deg(L) - (2g-2)); \tag{2.38}$$

In $\mathcal{N}=2$ case ($deg(L) = 2g-2$), the dimension of the fibre is equal to the dimension of the base, so we immediately know the number of massless photons and the theory is in abelian Coulomb phase if the dimension of the moduli space is nonzero. In $\mathcal{N}=1$ case, the dimension of the fibre is no-longer equal to the dimension of the base, and this leads to many interesting phases:

Non-abelian Coulomb phase: If there are only regular punctures, then one can define a C^* action on the generalized Hitchin moduli space, and this symmetry can be identified with the $U(1)_R$ symmetry of field theory. There is a point on the moduli space which is invariant under this symmetry, and this implies that the theory is conformal. This phase typically appears at the most singular point (origin) of the moduli space. The property of these new $\mathcal{N}=1$ SCFTs can be studied in many details using the geometry of M5 branes [13].

Abelian Coulomb phase: If the dimension of the fibre d_b is nonzero, then there are massless $U(1)$ photons in the infrared, and this phase is called abelian Coulomb phase. The complex structure of the spectral curve is then the exact low energy coupling for the photons [8]. On the Coulomb branch, the singularity points at which the spectral curve is singular is particularly interesting: at co-dimensional one singularity, there are new massless monopoles; at higher order singularity, we could have Argyres-Douglas points. Given the method of writing the spectral curve, we could answer many such questions [36].

Confining/Higgs phase: In some cases, the base of the spectral curve has only finite number of points, and the genus of the spectral curve is zero. This implies that the theory could be in confining or Higgs phase.

SUSY breaking: If we could not find any solution to the constraints, then the supersymmetry might be broken (we have to check the deformation in other direction, which will be considered in next section).

3 Full moduli space of vacua

The spectral curve discussed in last section only captures part of moduli space of vacua. In fact, 6d $(2,0)$ theory has five scalars which can be used to deform the four dimensional theory. The spectral curve describes the deformation associated with four scalars, and there is one more real scalar ϕ_5 which can be combined with the scalar from gauge fields in Riemann surface direction to form another complex scalar Φ_3 which is in trivial bundle of Riemann surface, and we can now consider a generalized Hitchin equation with three complex Higgs fields:

$$\Phi_1 \in H^0(\Sigma, End(E) \bigotimes L_1), \quad \Phi_2 \in H^0(\Sigma, End(E) \bigotimes L_2), \quad \Phi_3 \in H^0(\Sigma, End(E) \bigotimes \mathcal{O}); \tag{3.1}$$

and they commute with each other. One can now write down the spectral curves for these three scalars following the same method in the last section: simply write down the generalized Cayley-Hamilton equation for three pairs (Φ_1, Φ_2), (Φ_1, Φ_3) and (Φ_2, Φ_3).

For A_1 theory, we have the following equations and constraints for the spectral curves:

$$\begin{aligned} &v^2 = f(z), \quad vw = h(z), \quad w^2 = g(z), \\ &v^2 = f(z), \quad v\sigma = h_1(z), \quad \sigma^2 = c, \\ &w^2 = g(z), \quad w\sigma = h_2(z), \quad \sigma^2 = c. \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

Here c is just a constant. The commuting relations imply $h^2 = fg$, $h_1^2 = fc$, $h_2^2 = gc$, and this simply implies that f, g are square of holomorphic sections. The full moduli space is depicted in figure.1. There might be new branches if there are regular singularities, and the story is quite similar to $\mathcal{N} = 2$ case dealt in [37]. When there are irregular singularities, the factorization of f, g might not be possible, and we can not turn on σ deformations.

For the general case, let's consider the pair of Higgs fields (Φ_1, σ). The spectral curve and constraints imply the holomorphic factorization of the spectral curve of Φ_1:

$$\begin{aligned} &(v^{n_1} + h_{1,1}v^{n_1-1} + \ldots + h_{1,n_1}) \ldots (v^{n_r} + h_{r,1}v^{n_1-1} + \ldots + h_{r,n_r}) = 0, \\ &(\sigma - c_1)^{n_1} \ldots (\sigma - c_r)^{n_r} = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Here $h_{i,j}$ are the holomorphic sections of various line bundles. Similar factorization will be applied to the spectral curve of Φ_2.

3.1 Examples

In this subsection, we will discuss the structure of moduli space of vacua of several interesting examples. Some part of moduli space of vacua has been analyzed in [12], here we describe the full moduli space of vacua.



Figure 1. Moduli space of vacua of $\mathcal{N}=1$ class $\mathcal{S}$ theory from 6d A_1 theory.

3.1.1 Maldacena-Nunez theory

Maldacena-Nunez theory is defined by the following data: a genus g Riemann surface, and the bundle is $K^{1/2} \bigoplus K^{1/2}$. The underlying Riemann surface is chosen to be hyperelliptic, which is described by the following equation:

$$y^2 = \prod_{k=1}^{2g+2} (z - p_k), \qquad p_k \neq p_j; \tag{3.4}$$

The basis for degree one holomorphic differential (holomorphic sections of the canonical bundle) is

$$e_j = \frac{z^j dz}{y}, \quad j = 0, \ldots, g-1; \tag{3.5}$$

The basis for degree k differential is

$$\frac{z^j dz^k}{y^k}, \quad j = 0, \ldots, (2g-2)k - g. \tag{3.6}$$

Let's first consider $SU(2)$ theory, and the curve is

$$v^2 = \sum_{i=0}^{g-1} v_i e_i, \quad w^2 = \sum_{i=0}^{g-1} u_i e_i, \quad vw = \sum_{i=0}^{g-1} h_i e_i; \tag{3.7}$$

Here e_i is the basis of the holomorphic sections of line bundle K (and the dimension of this space is g). The consistency conditions on the three equations are

$$(\sum_{i=0}^{g-1} h_i e_i)^2 = (\sum_{i=0}^{g-1} v_i e_i)(\sum_{i=0}^{g-1} u_i e_i) \to \sum_{i+j=p} h_i h_j = \sum_{i+j=p} v_i u_j, \quad p = 0, 1, \ldots, 2g-2; \tag{3.8}$$

Here we use the fact that $e_i e_j = e_{i'} e_{j'}$ if $i + j = i' + j'$. This is the chiral ring relations we are looking for. This example has been considered in [12], in which we treat u_i, v_i as special moduli fields. Our new point of view is that the moduli fields in f, g, h are of equal

footing, and the moduli space is defined by the above equations. It would be interesting to learn more about this moduli space.

Now let's try to turn on the deformations in σ, and according to our formula in (3.2), this branch is parameterized by the following fields

$$f = h_1^2, \quad g = h_2^2, \tag{3.9}$$

where $h_1 \in H^0(\Sigma, K^{1/2})$ and $h_2 \in H^0(\Sigma, K^{1/2})$, and there is an extra moduli field c.

3.1.2 SQCD with quartic superpotential

Let's consider $\mathcal{N} = 1$ $SU(N)$ gauge theory with $N_f \leq 2N-1$ flavors in fundamental representations. We divide the matter into two sets (n_1, n_2) with condition $n_1, n_2 < N$. Let's use $(q_{i\alpha}, q^{\wedge}_{\alpha i})$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n_1$ to denote the first n_1 flavor, here i is the flavor index and α is the gauge index, similarly we use $(Q_{k\alpha}, Q^{\wedge}_{\alpha k})$, $k = 1, 2, \ldots, n_2$, to denote the second n_2 flavor. We also add a quartic superpotential for these two sets of flavors:

$$W = c\,\mathrm{Tr}(\mu_1\mu_2), \tag{3.10}$$

here μ_1 and μ_2 are the moment maps for $SU(N)$ gauge group:

$$(\mu_1)_{\alpha\beta} = q^{\wedge}_{\alpha i} q_{i\beta} - \frac{1}{N} q^{\wedge}_{\gamma i} q_{i\gamma}\delta_{\alpha\beta}, \qquad (\mu_2)_{\beta\alpha} = Q^{\wedge}_{\beta k} Q_{k\alpha} - \frac{1}{N} Q^{\wedge}_{\gamma k} Q_{k\gamma}\delta_{\beta\alpha}. \tag{3.11}$$

Let's split the meson matrix as

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} M_1 & L_1 \\ L_2 & M_2 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.12}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} &(M_1)_{ij} = q_{i\alpha} q^{\wedge}_{\alpha j}, \ i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, n_1, && (M_2)_{kl} = Q_{k\alpha} Q^{\wedge}_{\alpha l}, \ k, l = 1, 2, \ldots, n_2 \\ &(L_1)_{ik} = q_{i\alpha} Q^{\wedge}_{\alpha k}, && (L_2)_{ki} = Q_{k\alpha} q^{\wedge}_{\alpha i}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

Then the above quartic superpotential reads

$$W = ctr(\mu_1\mu_2) = c\,\mathrm{Tr}(L_1 L_2) - \frac{c}{N}\,\mathrm{Tr}(M_1)\,\mathrm{Tr}(M_2); \tag{3.14}$$

This is the tree level quartic superpotential added for SQCD. To find out the moduli space, we need to consider the quantum generated superpotential. Here we will use M5 brane construction and the spectral curve method to determine the full moduli space, and check with the field theory method.

The M5 brane configuration for above SQCD deformed by a quartic superpotential needs irregular punctures. The boundary condition for one irregular puncture representing n flavors are

$$\Phi \sim \frac{\zeta}{z^{1+\frac{1}{N-n}}}\,\mathrm{diag}(0, \ldots, 0, 1, \omega, \ldots, \omega^{N-n-1}) + \ldots, \tag{3.15}$$

here $\omega^{N-n} = 1$. When $n = N-1$, the irregular puncture has the following form

$$\Phi \sim \frac{\zeta}{z^2}\,\mathrm{diag}(1, 1, \ldots, -(N-1)) + \ldots, \tag{3.16}$$

The M5 brane configuration for SQCD with (n_1, n_2) flavors with quartic superpotential are described by a sphere with two irregular punctures of above type: Φ_1 is singular at one point, and Φ_2 is singular at another point. We put two punctures at $z = 0$ and $z = \infty$ separately. The bundle structure is $\mathcal{O}(-1) \bigoplus \mathcal{O}(-1)$. With the above boundary condition and bundle structure, we can solve the spectral curve using our general formula. In the following, we will discuss two simple examples. The Type IIA brane configuration and its lift to M5 brane configuration are shown in figure. 2.



Figure 2. Left: Type IIA brane configuration for SQCD deformed by a quartic superpotential. Right: The lift to M5 brane description: there are two irregular singularities describing left and right NS5 branes.

A: $SU(2)$ **with** $(1,1)$ **flavors revisited**: The coefficients of the full spectral curves are

$$v^2 = \frac{\zeta_1^2}{z^4} + \frac{u_1}{z^2}, \quad vw = \frac{u_3}{z^2} + \frac{u_4}{z} + u_5, \quad w^2 = \zeta_2^2 z^2 + u_2,$$
$$\sigma^2 = c^2, \quad \sigma v = \frac{u_6}{z^2} + \frac{u_7}{z}, \quad \sigma w = u_8 z + u_9. \tag{3.17}$$

Substituting this into equations (3.2), we find two branches

$$\text{Solution } 1 : u_1 = u_2 = u_3 = u_5 = u_7 = u_9 = 0, \ u_4 = \eta\zeta_1^2, \ u_6 = c\zeta_1, \ u_8 = c\zeta_2, \quad \eta^2 = 1,$$
$$\text{Solution } 2 : c = u_6 = u_7 = u_8 = u_9 = 0, \quad u_1 = \frac{u_5^2}{\zeta_2^2}, \quad u_2 = \frac{u_3^2}{\zeta_1^2}, \quad u_3 u_5 = \zeta_1^2 \zeta_2^2. \tag{3.18}$$

The first branch has two components which are called branch 1 and branch $1'$, and there are a total of three branches. ζ_1 and ζ_2 can be taken to be equal by using scale transformation on v, w, z coordinates, so there is only one parameter which can be identified with the dynamical generated scale.

Field theory method has been used in [12] to determine the full moduli space of this theory. The tree level superpotential plus the deformed chiral ring relation constraint is

simply

$$W = X(M_{11}M_{22} - M_{12}M_{21} - B\tilde{B} - \Lambda^4) + c(M_{12}M_{21} - \frac{1}{2}M_{11}M_{22}); \tag{3.19}$$

The flavor symmetry is reduced to $U(1) \times U(1)$, and M_{11}, M_{22} are flavor invariant meson field. There are three branches

$$\begin{aligned}
&\text{Branch A}: \quad M_{12}M_{21} = -\Lambda^4, \quad M_{11} = M_{22} = B = \tilde{B} = 0, \\
&\text{Branch B}: \quad B\tilde{B} = -\Lambda^4, \quad M_{11} = M_{22} = M_{12} = M_{21} = 0, \\
&\text{Branch C}: \quad M_{11}M_{22} = \Lambda^4, \quad M_{12} = M_{21} = B = \tilde{B} = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

The branch C can be identified with the branch 2 in our spectral curve: u_1 and u_2 are flavor invariant moduli fields which are just M_{11} and M_{22}. The branch A and B can be identified with the branch 1 and $1^{'}$ in spectral curve picture. There is only one flavor invariant moduli fields in these two branches, which match with the result from spectral curve.

B: $SU(3)$ **with** $(1,1)$ **flavors**: The coefficients before imposing the chiral-ring relation are

$$\begin{aligned}
&f_1 = \frac{\zeta_1^2}{z^3} + \frac{u_1}{z^2}, \quad g_1 = \frac{u_2}{z^3}, \\
&f_3 = \frac{u_3}{z}, \quad g_3 = \frac{u_4}{z^3} + \frac{u_5}{z^2}, \qquad g_4 = \frac{u_6}{z} + u_7, \\
&f_2 = \zeta_1^2 z + u_8, \quad g_2 = u_9.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

Substituting the above coefficient into equations (2.22), we find two sets of solutions:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\text{Solutions 1}: u_1 = u_2 = u_4 = u_5 = u_6 = u_7 = u_8 = u_9 = 0, \quad u_3 = \frac{2\eta\zeta_1^2}{3}, \quad \eta^2 = 1 \\
&\text{Solutions 2}: u_3 = \eta\frac{2\zeta_1^2}{3\sqrt{3}}, \ u_7 = -\eta\frac{4\zeta_1^6}{27\sqrt{3}u_4}, \ u_1 = -\frac{27u_7^2}{4\zeta_1^4}, u_8 = -\frac{27u_4^2}{4\zeta_1^4}, \\
&u_6 = \frac{2\eta u_4}{\sqrt{3}}, u_9 = \frac{27u_4^3}{4\zeta_1^6}, u_5 = -\frac{8\zeta_1^6}{81u_4}, u_2 = -\frac{\eta u_7^2}{\sqrt{3}u_4}, \qquad \eta^2 = 1.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

In the first set of solutions, there are two vacua. Since the the curves are factorized holomorphically, we can turn on one dimensional deformation in σ direction. There are two branches in the second set of solutions, and there are two moduli fields satisfying a chiral ring relation:

$$u_4 u_7 \sim \eta\zeta^6, \quad \eta^2 = 1. \tag{3.23}$$

The field theory analysis is easy to find. The full superpotential involving the dynamical generated superpotential is

$$W = c(L_1L_2 - \frac{1}{3}M_1M_2) + \frac{\Lambda^7}{M_1M_2 - L_1L_2} \tag{3.24}$$

The critical points of above potential is easy to find and there are four branches:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\text{Branch 1}: \ M_1M_2 = \frac{i\sqrt{3}\Lambda^{7/2}}{\sqrt{c}}, \qquad L_1 = L_2 = 0, \\
&\text{Branch 2}: \ M_1M_2 = -\frac{i\sqrt{3}\Lambda^{7/2}}{\sqrt{c}}, \qquad L_1 = L_2 = 0, \\
&\text{Branch 3}: \ L_1L_2 = \frac{i\Lambda^{7/2}}{\sqrt{c}}, \qquad M_1 = M_2 = 0, \\
&\text{Branch 4}: \ L_1L_2 = -\frac{i\Lambda^{7/2}}{\sqrt{c}}, \qquad M_1 = M_2 = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.25}$$

Here M_1 and M_2 are flavor invariant meson, so they can be the moduli fields, so branch 1 and 2 are identified with the solutions set 2 (we do not attempt to match the constants). For the other two branches, there is only one flavor invariant moduli fields, which are identified with the solutions set 1. Some of the branches are missed in [12], here we find the full set of vacua using the spectral curve.

4 Theories with other superpotentials

The theory considered in last section has quartic superpotential. In this section, we will consider other types of superpotential.

4.1 Quardratic superpotential

Let's consider a pure $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $SU(N)$ theory deformed by the following superpotential

$$W = \mu \,\mathrm{Tr}(\Phi^2), \tag{4.1}$$

here Φ is the adjoint chiral superfield in $\mathcal{N} = 2$ vector multiplet. The infrared structure is the same as the pure $\mathcal{N} = 1$ theory: there are only N vacua.

The corresponding type IIA brane configuration is found by rotating one of NS5 brane by an angle θ, the adjoint mass is given by

$$\mu \sim \tan\theta. \tag{4.2}$$

When $\theta = 90^0$, we get pure $\mathcal{N} = 1$ theory. The type IIA configurations and M5 brane configuration are shown in figure. 3. Since Φ_1 (Φ_2) is describing the deformation in v (w) direction, when the rotated angles are not 90 degrees, we claim that both Φ_1 and Φ_2 are singular at the singularity representing the rotated brane. At the other singularity representing the unrotated brane, only Φ_1 is singular. The bundle structure is fixed by the $\mathcal{N} = 1$ condition:

$$d_1 + n_1 \geq 0, \quad d_2 + n_2 \geq 0, \quad d_1 + d_2 + n_1 + n_2 = -2 + n, \tag{4.3}$$

here d_i is degree of the line bundle $L_i^{'}$, and n_i is the number of punctures of Φ_i, and n is total number of punctures. Here we have $n_1 = 2, n_2 = 1, n = 2$, and we find the unique solution

$$d_1 = -2, \quad d_2 = -1. \tag{4.4}$$



Figure 3. Left: Type IIA and M5 brane configuration for $\mathcal{N}=2$ theory, here the two irregular punctures are of the same type. Middle: Type IIA and M5 brane configuration for $\mathcal{N}=2$ theory deformed by finite adjoint mass, here Φ_1 and Φ_2 are both singular at the rotated puncture labeled by a blue square; Right: Type IIA and M5 brane configuration for pure $\mathcal{N}=1$ theory, here Φ_1 and Φ_2 are only singular at one puncture respectively.

The singular behavior at the punctures for both Higgs fields are

$$\Phi \sim \frac{\zeta_1}{z^{1+1/2}}\,\mathrm{diag}(1,-1), \tag{4.5}$$

here Φ_1 is singular at infinity and zero, and the bundle structure is $\mathcal{O}(-2)$. Φ_2 is only singular at infinity, and is a section of bundle $\mathcal{O}(-1)$. Based on above data, we can easily find the spectral curve

$$v^2 = \frac{\zeta_1^2}{z^3} + \frac{u}{z^2} + \frac{\zeta_2^2}{z}, \quad w^2 = \zeta_3^2 z + u_1, \quad vw = h(z). \tag{4.6}$$

and the constraints equation is

$$h^2 = (\frac{\zeta_1^2}{z^3} + \frac{u}{z^2} + \frac{\zeta_2^2}{z})(\zeta_3^2 z + u_1). \tag{4.7}$$

To make h holomorphic, we have to set

$$u = 2\eta\zeta_1\zeta_2, \quad u_1 = 0. \tag{4.8}$$

In summary, the solution we found is

$$v^2 = \frac{1}{z^3}(\eta\zeta_2 z + \zeta_1)^2,\ w^2 = \zeta_3^2 z,\quad vw = \zeta_3(\eta\zeta_2 z + \zeta_1)/z, \tag{4.9}$$

with $\eta^2 = 1$, so there are two vacua. Notice that the equation for v is the SW curve of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ theory, and the value of u determined by spectral curve is exactly the position where the SW curve is degenerate. It is pointed in [2] that only these two points survive with the adjoint mass deformations. Our curve reproduces this result.

Since the above curve can not be factorized in a holomorphic way, σ deformation can not be turned on, those are the only two vacua. This is apparently in agreement with the field theory result.

The generalization to SU(N) gauge theory is straightforward. We only work out mass deformed $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $SU(3)$ theory and leave the general case for the interested reader. The M5 brane construction is similar as $SU(2)$ theory: there are two irregular singularities at $z = 0, \infty$ with the following behavior for the Higgs field:

$$\Phi \sim \frac{\zeta}{z^{1+1/3}}\,\mathrm{diag}(1, \exp(i2\pi/3), \exp(i4\pi/3)) + \dots \tag{4.10}$$

Φ_1 is singular at both points while Φ_2 is only singular at $z = \infty$. Again, the bundle structure is $\mathcal{O}(-2)\bigoplus\mathcal{O}(-1)$. Based on above data, the coefficients in the spectral curve reads:

$$\begin{aligned}
&f_1 = \frac{u_1}{z^2},\quad g_1 = \frac{\zeta_1^3}{z^4} + \frac{u_2}{z^3} + \frac{\zeta_2^3}{z^2},\\
&f_3 = \frac{u_3}{z},\quad g_3 = \frac{u_4}{z^2} + \frac{\zeta_2^2\zeta_3}{z},\quad g_4 = \frac{u_5}{z} + \zeta_2\zeta_3^2,\\
&f_2 = u_6,\quad g_2 = \zeta_3^2 z + u_7.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

Substitute the above form into our constraints equations (2.22), we find

$$u_2 = u_4 = u_5 = u_6 = u_7 = 0,\qquad u_1 = 3\eta\zeta_1\zeta_2,\quad u_3 = \eta\zeta_1\zeta_3, \tag{4.12}$$

with $\eta^3 = -1$; and the final curve is

$$\begin{aligned}
&v^3 + \frac{3\eta\zeta_1\zeta_2}{z^2}v + \frac{\zeta_1^3}{z^4} + \frac{\zeta_2^3}{z^2} = 0,\\
&v^2 w + \frac{\eta\zeta_1\zeta_2}{z}w + \frac{\eta\zeta_1\zeta_3}{z}v + \frac{\zeta_2^2\zeta_3}{z} = 0,\\
&vw^2 + \frac{\eta\zeta_1\zeta_3}{z}w + \zeta_2\zeta_3^2 = 0,\\
&w^3 + \zeta_3^3 z = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

So we find three vacua (the deformation in σ direction is not possible), and the result is in agreement with the field theory result found in [38]. A further check is to note that the value of u_1 and u_2 are exactly the points on $\mathcal{N} = 2$ moduli space where two mutually local monopoles become massless, which are the unlifted $\mathcal{N} = 1$ vacua after turning on

the adjoint mass deformation. Let's give more detail on this point. The curve of v can be regarded as the $\mathcal{N}=2$ curve: after changing coordinates $x=vz$, we have

$$v^3+\frac{u_1}{z^2}v+\frac{\zeta_1^3}{z^4}+\frac{u_2}{z^3}+\frac{\zeta_2^3}{z^2}=0\rightarrow x^3+u_1x+u_2+\zeta^3/z+\zeta^3z=0$$
$$\rightarrow y^2=[\frac{1}{2}(x^3+u_1x+u_2)]^2-\zeta^6. \tag{4.14}$$

We have used the scale invariance to put $\zeta_1=\zeta_2=\zeta$ and y is a linear function in z. The last equation is the standard curve found in [39], so the moduli u_1 and u_2 in our formula are the same as the one used in the old $\mathcal{N}=2$ literature. The value of the moduli fields at the unlifted vacua upon $\mathcal{N}=1$ deformations are exactly the same as found in [39].

4.2 Landau-Ginzburg superpotential

Let's now consider the $\mathcal{N}=2$ pure SU(N) gauge theory deformed by following Landau-Ginzburg (LG) type superpotential:

$$W=\sum_{i=2}^{k}\mu_i\,\mathrm{Tr}(\Phi^i),\qquad k\leq N. \tag{4.15}$$

The vacua structure of this deformed theory is very rich! See for example [11, 40–42]. The type IIA brane construction for this superpotential is suggested in [43]: they argue that one need to use coincident multiple NS5 branes. The M5 brane configuration and $\mathcal{N}=1$ curve is also discussed in [40].

However, the true type IIA brane configuration seems slightly different: the branes on the left hand side might be interpreted as one NS5 brane in original direction, and k $NS5^{'}$ aligned in orthogonal direction, see figure. 4. Based on this conjecture, the M5 brane configuration for $N=2$ $SU(N)$ gauge theory deformed by LG superpotential can be engineered by a sphere with following boundary conditions:

$$\Phi_1\sim\frac{\zeta_1}{z^{'1+\frac{1}{N}}}(1,\omega,\ldots,\omega^{N-1}),\quad z\rightarrow\infty,\qquad \Phi_1\sim\frac{\zeta_2}{z^{1+\frac{1}{N}}}(1,\omega,\ldots,\omega^{N-1})\quad z\sim 0,$$
$$\Phi_2\sim\frac{\zeta_3}{z^{'1+\frac{1}{N}}}(1,\omega,\ldots,\omega^{N-1}),\quad z\sim\infty, \tag{4.16}$$

here $z^{'}=1/z$, $\omega^N=1$. The bundle structure is $O(-2)\bigoplus O(-1)$. Let's now find the curves for deformed $\mathcal{N}=2$ $SU(3)$ pure YM theory, and consider the following potential

$$W=u\,\mathrm{Tr}(\Phi^3)+v\,\mathrm{Tr}(\Phi^2), \tag{4.17}$$

here Φ is the adjoint scalar in $\mathcal{N}=2$ vector multiplet. The case with $u=0$ has been studied in last subsection, and the case $u=0,v=\infty$ has been studied in [12] (one can also recover this result using the method presented in this paper).



Figure 4. Type IIA brane configuration for $\mathcal{N}=2$ theory deformed by LG superpotential.

Let's first consider the special situation $v=0$, which means that we only turn on leading order term of Φ_2. Using the boundary data and the bundle structure, the coefficients of the spectral curve have the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} &f_1=\frac{u_1}{z^2},\quad g_1=\frac{\zeta_1^3}{z^4}+\frac{u_2}{z^3}+\frac{\zeta_1^3}{z^2},\\ &f_3=\frac{u_3}{z}-\zeta_1\zeta_3,\quad g_3=\frac{u_4}{z^2}+\frac{u_5}{z},\quad g_4=\frac{u_6}{z}+u_7,\\ &f_2=u_8,\quad g_2=\zeta_3^3z^2+u_9z+u_{10}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.18}$$

Substituting the above form into our constraints equations, we have two sets of solutions:

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{Solutions 1}:\ u_2=u_3=u_5=u_6=u_8=u_9=u_{10}=0,\\ &\quad u_1=3\eta\zeta_1^2,\quad u_4=\eta^2\zeta_1^2\zeta_3,\quad u_7=-\eta\zeta_1\zeta_3^2,\ \eta^3=-1,\\ &\text{Solutions 2}:\ u_1=u_4=u_5=u_6=u_7=u_8=u_{10}=0,\\ &\quad u_2=2\eta\zeta_1^3,\quad u_3=-\eta\zeta_1\zeta_3,\quad u_9=\eta\zeta_3^3,\ \eta^2=1. \end{aligned} \tag{4.19}$$

For the first branch, the value of u_1 and u_2 are the position where there are two mutually local massless monopoles. For the second branch, the value of u_1 and u_2 are the Argyres-Douglas point found in [38]. In total, we have found five vacua and these are precisely the vacua found in [38] in the case of turning on $\mathrm{Tr}(\Phi^3)$ deformation on pure $\mathcal{N}=2$ $SU(3)$ gauge theory. The deformation in σ direction is not possible, so these are the isolated vacua.

For general u,v deformation, we need to turn on lower order term of Φ_2. The coefficients in the spectral curve have the following form

$$\begin{aligned} &f_1=\frac{u_1}{z^2},\quad g_1=\frac{\zeta_1^3}{z^4}+\frac{u_2}{z^3}+\frac{\zeta_1^3}{z^2},\\ &f_3=\frac{u_3}{z}-\zeta_1\zeta_3,\quad g_3=\frac{u_5}{z^2}+\frac{u_6}{z},\quad g_4=\frac{u_7}{z}+u_8,\\ &f_2=dz+u_9,\quad g_2=\zeta_3^3z^2+u_{10}z+u_{11}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.20}$$

The only difference with $v=0$ case is that f_2 has a order z term. The parameters in the spectral curve and the parameters of the physical theory are identified as:

$$\Lambda=\zeta_1,\quad u=\zeta_3,\quad v=\frac{d}{3\zeta_3}. \tag{4.21}$$

Substitute above coefficients into equations (2.22), we find the following two sets of solutions:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\text{Solutions 1}: \quad u_2=u_7=u_9=u_{11}=0,\ u_1=-3\eta^2\zeta_1^2,\ u_3=\frac{\zeta_1 d}{3\zeta_3\eta},\ u_5=\eta\zeta_1^2\zeta_3, u_6=-\frac{\zeta_1^2 d}{3\zeta_3}\\
&\qquad u_8=\frac{\zeta_1^2d^2+9\zeta_1^2\zeta_3^2\eta^2}{9\zeta_1\zeta_3^2},\quad u_{10}=-\frac{d^3}{27\zeta_3^3},\qquad \eta^3=1;\\
&\text{Solutions 2}: \quad u_7=u_9=u_{11}=0,\ u_1=-\frac{\zeta_1^2d^2}{3\zeta_3^4},\ u_2=\frac{2\zeta_1^3d^3}{27\zeta_3^6}-2\eta\zeta_1^3,\quad u_3=\frac{\zeta_1\zeta_3}{\eta}, u_5=\frac{\eta\zeta_1^2 d}{3\zeta_3},\\
&\qquad u_6=-\frac{\zeta_1^2 d}{3\zeta_3},\ u_8=-\frac{2\zeta_1 d^2}{9\zeta_3^2},\ u_{10}=-\eta\zeta_3^3,\qquad \eta^2=1.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.22}$$

For the first set of solutions, u_1 and u_2 are exactly the value where there are two mutually local massless monopoles, and there are three vacua. For general parameters, the second set of solutions have only two vacua. So there are again a total of five vacua (σ deformation is not possible). The phase structure can be found by calculating the genus of the spectral curve: for general u,v, the spectral curve corresponds to first three vacua has genus zero, and therefore there is no massless photon, and the vacua is gapped. For the second set of vacua, the genus of the curve is one, and there is one massless photon.

There are several special values of u,v so that one of the vacua in second set will merge with the first set of vacua

$$\begin{aligned}
&\eta=1: \quad d=3\gamma\zeta_3^2(v=\gamma u),\quad \gamma^3=1\to u_1=-3\zeta_1^2\gamma^2,\ u_2=0,\\
&\eta=-1: \quad d=-3\gamma\zeta_3^2(v=-\gamma u),\ \gamma^3=1\ \to u_1=-3\zeta_1^2\gamma^2,\ u_2=0.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.23}$$

So there are six special ratio of (u,v) for which one of vacua in second set is merged with one of the vacua in first set.

In summary, we have found the following intricate vacua structure of $SU(3)$ gauge theory:

- $u=0,v=0$: The theory has $\mathcal{N}=2$ SUSY, and there is a $\mathcal{N}=2$ Coulomb branch.
- $u=0,v\neq 0$: there are three vacua: they are points of $\mathcal{N}=2$ Coulomb branch with two mutually local massless monopoles . The genus of the spectral curve is zero, so there is no massless photon, and the theory is in confining phase.
- $u=0,v=\infty$: there are three vacua, and they are in confining phase.
- $u\neq 0,v=0$: there are five vacua: three of them are points of $\mathcal{N}=2$ Coulomb branch with two mutually local massless monopoles, and they are gapped; the other two are $\mathcal{N}=2$ AD points deformed by a superpotential .

- $v/u = \pm\gamma, \gamma^3 = 1$: three are four vacua: two vacua are points with two mutually local massless monopoles; the third vacua has one massless photon, and the fourth one has one massless photon and one massless hypermultiplet.

- v, u generic: there are five vacua: three of them are points of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ Coulomb branch with two mutually local massless monopoles, and they are gapped; the other two are half-Higgsed and there is one massless photon left at each vacua.

These are precisely the vacua found in [38], and we found a curve for all the cases. It is remarkable that our spectral curve recovers these highly non-trivial vacua structure without using any field theory input!

5 Conclusion

In this paper, we propose a $\mathcal{N} = 1$ curve for rank N four dimensional class $\mathcal{S}$ theory with at least $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetry. The curve consists of three parts: a: a set of N+1 equations; b: the constraints relating the coefficients which are holomorphic sections of various line bundles; c: a canonically defined differential. Therefore we establish a Seiberg-Witten type solution for $\mathcal{N} = 1$ theory. The big difference with $\mathcal{N} = 2$ curve is the constraints on coefficients which lead to many new phenomenon of $\mathcal{N} = 1$ theory such as more phases, chiral ring relations for moduli fields, etc.

We have applied this method to some known theories, and they recover the intricate vacua structure in an impressive way. The main purpose is to check the correctness of our proposal, so we mainly focus on examples where other methods are available. Given the compelling evidence for the correctness of our proposal, we can apply it to all kinds of new $\mathcal{N} = 1$ theories engineered using M5 branes including SCFT, asymptotical free theory, Argyres-Douglas type theories [13, 17], etc. The spectral curve is a important tool to understand the properties of those new theories as other methods are missing.

There are some remaining questions about the constraints of our spectral curve. For example, the constraints are easy to derive and have nice pattern, but kind of complicated for higher rank theory. Is there any way to simplify them?

We only discussed how to write down the curve, and it is definitely interesting to analyze these curves in details such as the singularity structure, phase diagram, etc. We believe that many exact results about $\mathcal{N} = 1$ theories can now be tackled by using the curve presented in this paper.

Our curves are derived using M5 brane method, and it would be interesting to see if they can be derived using other string duality such as the mirror symmetry of type II string theory. The construction in [11] seems closely related to ours: they also use the dimensional reduction of holomorphic Chern-Simons action (our generalized Hitchin equation is also derived from dimensional reduction of the same action), although the ways of introducing superpotential are different in those two constructions. It would be nice to understand better the relations between these two constructions.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by Center of Mathematical Sciences and Applications at Harvard University, and in part by the Fundamental Laws Initiative of the Center for the Fundamental Laws of Nature, Harvard University.

A Spectral curve and constraints for A_3 theory

The spectral curve of A_3 theory are derived from order 4 matrix equations of two commuting 4×4 matrices. These matrix equations can be found using the basic Cayley-Hamilton equation:

$$p(\lambda) = \det(\lambda - X) = 0 \to X^4 - \frac{1}{2}X^2 \mathrm{Tr}\left[X^2\right] - \frac{1}{3}X \mathrm{Tr}\left[X^3\right] + [-\frac{\mathrm{Tr}\left[X^4\right]}{4} + \frac{1}{8}\mathrm{Tr}\left[X^2\right]^2] = 0. \tag{A.1}$$

and we have a total of five degree 4 equations:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Phi_1^4 - \frac{1}{2}\Phi_1^2 \mathrm{Tr}\left[\Phi_1^2\right] - \frac{1}{3}\Phi_1 \mathrm{Tr}\left[\Phi_1^3\right] + [-\frac{\mathrm{Tr}\left[\Phi_1^4\right]}{4} + \frac{1}{8}\mathrm{Tr}\left[\Phi_1^2\right]^2] = 0;\\
&\Phi_1^3\Phi_2 - \frac{1}{4}\Phi_1\Phi_2 \mathrm{Tr}\left[\Phi_1^2\right] - \frac{1}{4}\Phi_1^2 \mathrm{Tr}[\Phi_1\Phi_2] - \frac{1}{12}\Phi_2 \mathrm{Tr}\left[\Phi_1^3\right] - \frac{1}{4}\Phi_1 \mathrm{Tr}\left[\Phi_1^2\Phi_2\right] +\\
&\left[-\frac{1}{4}\mathrm{Tr}\left[\Phi_1^3\Phi_2\right] + \frac{1}{8}\mathrm{Tr}\left[\Phi_1^2\right] \mathrm{Tr}[\Phi_1\Phi_2]\right] = 0;\\
&\Phi_1^2\Phi_2^2 - \frac{1}{12}\Phi_2^2 \mathrm{Tr}\left[\Phi_1^2\right] - \frac{1}{3}\Phi_1\Phi_2 \mathrm{Tr}[\Phi_1\Phi_2] - \frac{1}{6}\Phi_2 \mathrm{Tr}\left[\Phi_1^2\Phi_2\right] - \frac{1}{12}\Phi_1^2 \mathrm{Tr}\left[\Phi_2^2\right] - \frac{1}{6}\Phi_1 \mathrm{Tr}\left[\Phi_1\Phi_2^2\right] +\\
&\left[-\frac{1}{4}\mathrm{Tr}\left[\Phi_1^2\Phi_2^2\right] + \frac{1}{12}\mathrm{Tr}[\Phi_1\Phi_2]^2 + \frac{1}{24}\mathrm{Tr}\left[\Phi_1^2\right] \mathrm{Tr}\left[\Phi_2^2\right]\right] = 0;\\
&\Phi_2^3\Phi_1 - \frac{1}{4}\Phi_2\Phi_1 \mathrm{Tr}\left[\Phi_2^2\right] - \frac{1}{4}\Phi_2^2 \mathrm{Tr}[\Phi_2\Phi_1] - \frac{1}{12}\Phi_1 \mathrm{Tr}\left[\Phi_2^3\right] - \frac{1}{4}\Phi_2 \mathrm{Tr}\left[\Phi_2^2\Phi_1\right] +\\
&\left[-\frac{1}{4}\mathrm{Tr}\left[\Phi_2^3\Phi_1\right] + \frac{1}{8}\mathrm{Tr}\left[\Phi_2^2\right] \mathrm{Tr}[\Phi_2\Phi_1]\right] = 0;\\
&\Phi_2^4 - \frac{1}{2}\Phi_2^2 \mathrm{Tr}\left[\Phi_2^2\right] - \frac{1}{3}\Phi_2 \mathrm{Tr}\left[\Phi_2^3\right] + \left[\frac{1}{8}\mathrm{Tr}\left[\Phi_2^2\right]^2 - \frac{\mathrm{Tr}\left[\Phi_2^4\right]}{4}\right] = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{A.2}$$

The above equation can be derived by the following steps: first list all monomials with a Φ_1 and $(4-a)$ Φ_2 factors with undetermined coefficients, then use two diagonal matrices to fix the coefficients. The spectral curve is written using the above generalized trace identities:

$$\begin{aligned}
&v^4 + f_{2,0}v^2 + f_{3,0}v + f_{4,0} = 0,\\
&v^3 w + v^2 f_{1,1} + vw\frac{f_{2,0}}{2} + v f_{2,1} + w\frac{f_{3,0}}{4} + f_{3,1} = 0,\\
&v^2 w^2 + v^2\frac{f_{0,2}}{6} + w^2\frac{f_{2,0}}{6} + vw\frac{4f_{1,1}}{3} + w\frac{2f_{2,1}}{3} + v\frac{2f_{1,2}}{3} + f_{2,2} = 0,\\
&vw^3 + w^2 f_{1,1} + vw\frac{f_{0,2}}{2} + w f_{1,2} + v\frac{f_{0,3}}{4} + f_{1,3} = 0,\\
&w^4 + f_{0,2}v^2 + f_{0,3}v + f_{0,4} = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{A.3}$$

and the coefficients are expressed in terms of traces of Φ_1 and Φ_2:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&f_{2,0} = -\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}[\Phi_1^2],\ f_{3,0} = -\frac{1}{3}\mathrm{Tr}[\Phi_1^3],\ f_{4,0} = -\frac{\mathrm{Tr}[\Phi_1^4]}{4} + \frac{1}{8}\mathrm{Tr}\left[\Phi_1^2\right]^2,\\
&f_{1,1} = -\frac{1}{4}\mathrm{Tr}[\Phi_1\Phi_2],\ f_{2,1} = -\frac{1}{4}\mathrm{tr}[\Phi_1^2\Phi_2],\ f_{3,1} = -\frac{1}{4}\mathrm{Tr}\left[\Phi_1^3\Phi_2\right] + \frac{1}{8}\mathrm{Tr}\left[\Phi_1^2\right]\mathrm{Tr}[\Phi_1\Phi_2],\\
&f_{1,2} = -\frac{1}{4}\mathrm{tr}[\Phi_1\Phi_2^2],\ f_{2,2} = -\frac{1}{4}\mathrm{Tr}\left[\Phi_1^2\Phi_2^2\right] + \frac{1}{12}\mathrm{Tr}[\Phi_1\Phi_2]^2 + \frac{1}{24}\mathrm{Tr}\left[\Phi_1^2\right]\mathrm{Tr}\left[\Phi_2^2\right],\\
&f_{1,3} = -\frac{1}{4}\mathrm{Tr}\left[\Phi_2^3\Phi_1\right] + \frac{1}{8}\mathrm{Tr}\left[\Phi_2^2\right]\mathrm{Tr}[\Phi_2\Phi_1],\\
&f_{0,2} = -\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}[\Phi_2^2],\ f_{0,3} = -\frac{1}{3}\mathrm{Tr}[\Phi_2^3],\ f_{0,4} = -\frac{\mathrm{Tr}[\Phi_2^4]}{4} + \frac{1}{8}\mathrm{Tr}\left[\Phi_2^2\right]^2.
\end{aligned}
\tag{A.4}
$$

The constraints for the coefficients can be easily derived from the matrix equations,

and we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text{Type}[4,2]: \quad & -\frac{f_{0,2}f_{2,0}^2}{3}+2f_{0,2}f_{4,0}+\frac{4f_{1,1}^2f_{2,0}}{3}-8f_{1,1}f_{3,1}+3f_{1,2}f_{3,0}+2f_{2,0}f_{2,2}-4f_{2,1}^2=0;\\
\text{Type}[3,3]: \quad & -\frac{4f_{0,2}f_{1,1}f_{2,0}}{9}+\frac{4f_{0,2}f_{3,1}}{3}+\frac{3f_{0,3}f_{3,0}}{4}+\frac{16f_{1,1}^3}{9}-\frac{16f_{1,1}f_{2,2}}{3}-\frac{4f_{1,2}f_{2,1}}{3}+\frac{4f_{1,3}f_{2,0}}{3}=0;\\
\text{Type}[4,3]: \quad & -\frac{5f_{0,2}f_{1,1}f_{3,0}}{2}-\frac{f_{0,2}f_{2,0}f_{2,1}}{3}-\frac{f_{0,3}f_{2,0}^2}{4}+3f_{0,3}f_{4,0}+\frac{40f_{1,1}^2f_{2,1}}{3}\\
& -2f_{1,1}f_{1,2}f_{2,0}-4f_{1,2}f_{3,1}+3f_{1,3}f_{3,0}-4f_{2,1}f_{2,2}=0;\\
\text{Type}[5,2]: \quad & -\frac{3f_{0,2}f_{2,0}f_{3,0}}{4}+4f_{1,1}f_{2,0}f_{2,1}-f_{1,2}f_{2,0}^2+4f_{1,2}f_{4,0}-8f_{2,1}f_{3,1}+3f_{2,2}f_{3,0}=0;\\
\text{Type}[6,2]: \quad & \frac{f_{0,2}f_{2,0}^3}{3}-\frac{5f_{0,2}f_{2,0}f_{4,0}}{3}-\frac{3f_{0,2}f_{3,0}^2}{8}-\frac{4f_{1,1}^2f_{2,0}^2}{3}-\frac{4f_{1,1}^2f_{4,0}}{3}+8f_{1,1}f_{2,0}f_{3,1}\\
& +2f_{1,1}f_{2,1}f_{3,0}-\frac{7f_{1,2}f_{2,0}f_{3,0}}{2}-2f_{2,0}^2f_{2,2}+4f_{2,0}f_{2,1}^2+4f_{2,2}f_{4,0}-4f_{3,1}^2=0;\\
\text{Type}[4,4]_1: \quad & -\frac{f_{0,2}^2f_{2,0}^2}{3}-2f_{0,2}^2f_{4,0}+6f_{0,2}f_{1,1}f_{3,1}-3f_{0,2}f_{1,2}f_{3,0}-2f_{0,2}f_{2,0}f_{2,2}+2f_{0,2}f_{2,1}^2\\
& -\frac{9f_{0,3}f_{1,1}f_{3,0}}{4}-\frac{3f_{0,3}f_{2,0}f_{2,1}}{2}-f_{0,4}f_{2,0}^2+4f_{0,4}f_{4,0}-\frac{16f_{1,1}^4}{3}+4f_{1,1}^2f_{2,2}\\
& +12f_{1,1}f_{1,2}f_{2,1}+2f_{1,1}f_{1,3}f_{2,0}-4f_{1,3}f_{3,1}=0;\\
\text{Type}[4,4]_2: \quad & -\frac{f_{0,2}^2f_{2,0}^2}{18}-\frac{2}{9}f_{0,2}f_{1,1}^2f_{2,0}+2f_{0,2}f_{1,1}f_{3,1}+\frac{f_{0,2}f_{1,2}f_{3,0}}{2}-\frac{f_{0,2}f_{2,0}f_{2,2}}{3}\\
& +\frac{3f_{0,3}f_{1,1}f_{3,0}}{4}+\frac{f_{0,3}f_{2,0}f_{2,1}}{2}+\frac{16f_{1,1}^4}{9}-\frac{20f_{1,1}^2f_{2,2}}{3}-4f_{1,1}f_{1,2}f_{2,1}+2f_{1,1}f_{1,3}f_{2,0}\\
& -4f_{1,3}f_{3,1}+4f_{2,2}^2=0;\\
\text{Type}[4,4]_3: \quad & \frac{4f_{0,2}^2f_{2,0}^2}{9}-\frac{5f_{0,2}^2f_{4,0}}{3}+\frac{8f_{0,2}f_{1,1}f_{3,1}}{3}-3f_{0,2}f_{1,2}f_{3,0}-2f_{0,2}f_{2,0}f_{2,2}+\frac{4f_{0,2}f_{2,1}^2}{3}-3f_{0,3}f_{1,1}f_{3,0}\\
& -3f_{0,3}f_{2,0}f_{2,1}-\frac{5f_{0,4}f_{2,0}^2}{3}+4f_{0,4}f_{4,0}-\frac{64f_{1,1}^4}{9}+\frac{32f_{1,1}^2f_{2,2}}{3}+\\
& 16f_{1,1}f_{1,2}f_{2,1}+\frac{8f_{1,1}f_{1,3}f_{2,0}}{3}+\frac{4f_{1,2}^2f_{2,0}}{3}-4f_{2,2}^2=0;\\
\text{Type}[5,3]: \quad & -f_{0,2}f_{1,1}f_{2,0}^2-4f_{0,2}f_{1,1}f_{4,0}-\frac{f_{0,2}f_{2,0}f_{3,1}}{3}-f_{0,2}f_{2,1}f_{3,0}-\frac{3f_{0,3}f_{2,0}f_{3,0}}{4}\\
& -4f_{1,1}^3f_{2,0}+\frac{40f_{1,1}^2f_{3,1}}{3}-5f_{1,1}f_{1,2}f_{3,0}+12f_{1,1}f_{2,1}^2-f_{1,3}f_{2,0}^2+4f_{1,3}f_{4,0}-4f_{2,2}f_{3,1}=0.
\end{aligned}
\tag{A.5}
$$

Here we only write the constraints with label (a,b), $a \geq b$, and the other types with label $a < b$ can be derived by exchanging the index of the coefficients appearing in the above equations.

References

[1] K. A. Intriligator and N. Seiberg, *Lectures on supersymmetric gauge theories and electric - magnetic duality*, *Nucl.Phys.Proc.Suppl.* **45BC** (1996) 1–28, [hep-th/9509066].

[2] N. Seiberg and E. Witten, *Monopole condensation, and confinement in $N = 2$ supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B426** (1994) 19–52, [hep-th/9407087].

[3] N. Seiberg and E. Witten, *Monopoles, duality and chiral symmetry breaking in N=2 supersymmetric QCD*, *Nucl.Phys.* **B431** (1994) 484–550, [hep-th/9408099].

[4] E. Witten, *Solutions of four-dimensional field theories via M-theory*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B500** (1997) 3–42, [hep-th/9703166].

[5] S. Katz, P. Mayr, and C. Vafa, *Mirror symmetry and exact solution of 4D $N = 2$ gauge theories. I*, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **1** (1998) 53–114, [hep-th/9706110].

[6] R. Donagi and E. Witten, *Supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory and integrable systems*, *Nucl.Phys.* **B460** (1996) 299–334, [hep-th/9510101].

[7] N. Hitchin, *Stable bundles and integrable system*, *Duke Math. J.* **(1)54** (1987) 91–114.

[8] K. A. Intriligator and N. Seiberg, *Phases of N=1 supersymmetric gauge theories in four-dimensions*, *Nucl.Phys.* **B431** (1994) 551–568, [hep-th/9408155].

[9] E. Witten, *Branes and the dynamics of QCD*, *Nucl.Phys.* **B507** (1997) 658–690, [hep-th/9706109].

[10] K. Hori, H. Ooguri, and Y. Oz, *Strong coupling dynamics of four-dimensional N=1 gauge theories from M theory five-brane*, *Adv.Theor.Math.Phys.* **1** (1998) 1–52, [hep-th/9706082].

[11] R. Dijkgraaf and C. Vafa, *Matrix models, topological strings, and supersymmetric gauge theories*, *Nucl.Phys.* **B644** (2002) 3–20, [hep-th/0206255].

[12] D. Xie and K. Yonekura, *Generalized Hitchin system, Spectral curve and $\mathcal{N} = 1$ dynamics*, *JHEP* **1401** (2014) 001, [arXiv:1310.0467].

[13] D. Xie, *M5 brane and four dimensional $N = 1$ theory II: regualr singularity, To appear*, .

[14] D. Xie, *M5 brane and four dimensional $N = 1$ theories I*, *JHEP* **1404** (2014) 154, [arXiv:1307.5877].

[15] C. Procesi, *The invariant theory of $n \times n$ matrices*, *Advances in Mathematics* **19** (1976), no. 3 306–381.

[16] J. P. Razmyslov, *Trace identities of full matrix algebras over a field of characteristic zero*, *Mathematics of the USSR-Izvestiya* **8** (1974), no. 4 727.

[17] D. Xie, *M5 brane and four dimensional $N = 1$ theory III: Argyres-Douglas theory, To appear*, .

[18] F. Benini, Y. Tachikawa, and B. Wecht, *Sicilian gauge theories and N=1 dualities*, *JHEP* **1001** (2010) 088, [arXiv:0909.1327].

[19] Y. Tachikawa and K. Yonekura, *N=1 curves for trifundamentals*, *JHEP* **1107** (2011) 025, [arXiv:1105.3215].

[20] I. Bah and B. Wecht, *New N=1 Superconformal Field Theories In Four Dimensions*, arXiv:1111.3402.

[21] I. Bah, C. Beem, N. Bobev, and B. Wecht, *AdS/CFT Dual Pairs from M5-Branes on Riemann Surfaces*, *Phys.Rev.* **D85** (2012) 121901, [arXiv:1112.5487].

[22] I. Bah, C. Beem, N. Bobev, and B. Wecht, *Four-Dimensional SCFTs from M5-Branes*, *JHEP* **1206** (2012) 005, [arXiv:1203.0303].

[23] C. Beem and A. Gadde, *The superconformal index of N=1 class S fixed points*, arXiv:1212.1467.

[24] A. Gadde, K. Maruyoshi, Y. Tachikawa, and W. Yan, *New N=1 Dualities*, *JHEP* **1306** (2013) 056, [arXiv:1303.0836].

[25] K. Maruyoshi, Y. Tachikawa, W. Yan, and K. Yonekura, *N=1 dynamics with TN theory*, arXiv:1305.5250.

[26] G. Bonelli, S. Giacomelli, K. Maruyoshi, and A. Tanzini, *N=1 Geometries via M-theory*, *JHEP* **1310** (2013) 227, [arXiv:1307.7703].

[27] I. Bah and N. Bobev, *Linear quivers and $\mathcal{N} = 1$ SCFTs from M5-branes*, *JHEP* **1408** (2014) 121, [arXiv:1307.7104].

[28] K. Yonekura, *Supersymmetric gauge theory, (2,0) theory and twisted 5d Super-Yang-Mills*, *JHEP* **1401** (2014) 142, [arXiv:1310.7943].

[29] P. Agarwal and J. Song, *New N=1 Dualities from M5-branes and Outer-automorphism Twists*, *JHEP* **1403** (2014) 133, [arXiv:1311.2945].

[30] P. Agarwal, I. Bah, K. Maruyoshi, and J. Song, *Quiver Tails and N=1 SCFTs from M5-branes*, arXiv:1409.1908.

[31] S. Giacomelli, *Four dimensional superconformal theories from M5 branes*, arXiv:1409.3077.

[32] J. McGrane and B. Wecht, *Theories of Class S and New N=1 SCFTs*, arXiv:1409.7668.

[33] S. Rayan and D. Xie, *6d self-dual yang-mills equations and higgs bundles, work in progress*, .

[34] D. Gaiotto, *$\mathcal{N} = 2$ dualities*, arXiv:0904.2715.

[35] J. de Boer, K. Hori, H. Ooguri, and Y. Oz, *Kahler potential and higher derivative terms from M theory five-brane*, *Nucl.Phys.* **B518** (1998) 173–211, [hep-th/9711143].

[36] D. Xie, *N=1 Coulomb branch, To appear*, .

[37] D. Xie and K. Yonekura, *The moduli space of vacua of N=2 class S theories*, arXiv:1404.7521.

[38] P. C. Argyres and M. R. Douglas, *New phenomena in SU(3) supersymmetric gauge theory*, *Nucl.Phys.* **B448** (1995) 93–126, [hep-th/9505062].

[39] P. C. Argyres and A. E. Faraggi, *The vacuum structure and spectrum of N=2 supersymmetric SU(n) gauge theory*, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **74** (1995) 3931–3934, [hep-th/9411057].

[40] J. de Boer and Y. Oz, *Monopole condensation and confining phase of N=1 gauge theories via M theory five-brane*, *Nucl.Phys.* **B511** (1998) 155–196, [hep-th/9708044].

[41] F. Cachazo, M. R. Douglas, N. Seiberg, and E. Witten, *Chiral rings and anomalies in supersymmetric gauge theory*, *JHEP* **0212** (2002) 071, [hep-th/0211170].

[42] F. Cachazo, N. Seiberg, and E. Witten, *Phases of N=1 supersymmetric gauge theories and matrices*, *JHEP* **0302** (2003) 042, [hep-th/0301006].

[43] S. Elitzur, A. Giveon, D. Kutasov, E. Rabinovici, and A. Schwimmer, *Brane dynamics and N=1 supersymmetric gauge theory*, *Nucl.Phys.* **B505** (1997) 202–250, [hep-th/9704104].